

DAILY NEWS



Montréal

World Bridge Championships

Montreal, Canada, 16 - 31 August 2002

Co-ordinator: **Jean-Paul Meyer** (France)
Chief Editor: **Mark Horton** (England)
Editors: **Brent Manley** (USA), **Brian Senior** (England)
Layout Editor: **George Hatzidakis** (Greece)
Photographer: **Ron Tacchi** (England)

Issue: 8

24th August 2002

Big Names Go Out

The biggest losses from the McConnell were GORDON of Canada and WEI-SENDER of USA, but a number of other strong squads bowed out of the competition.

DALAL (IND) pipped CHAGAS (BRA) by 2 IMPs in the Rosenblum, while RIGAL (USA) got through by 1 IMP, against England's FORRESTER. Another Indian team, KIRUBAKARAMOORTHY, knocked out ANGELINI of Italy, and EKEBLAD (USA) were crushed by 73 IMPs by MUNAWAR (IDN).

Registration

Open & Ladies Pairs

Registration for the World Open and Ladies Pairs Championships will take place today in Galerie 4 from 9 a.m. to 9 p.m.

Entry Fees in both events are US\$ 400 or Can\$ 625 per pair.

WBF Elections



At their first meeting in Montreal on Monday, 19th August 2002, the Executive Council held elections for the positions of President and Officers of the World Bridge Federation.

José Damiani was re-elected President by acclamation.

Following the resignation of **George Retek**, **Jean-Louis Derivery** was appointed as Treasurer and **Dan Morse** was appointed Honorary Secretary.

The Executive also approved the appointment of **Mr. John Wignall** as 1st Vice-President with **Mrs Joan Gerard** and Messrs. **Patrick Choy**, **Panos Gerontopoulos**, **Mazhar Jafri**, **Jens Auken** and **George Retek** as Vice-Presidents.



Dan Morse



John Wignall



Jean-Louis Derivery



President's Report to Congress Montreal, Canada Wednesday, 21st August 2002



**Presidents,
Delegates,
Ladies and Gentlemen:**

My thanks to all of you who are attending this Congress today. I am particularly grateful for your presence as we badly need strong cooperation between you, the NBO members, and we, the WBF and the Zones, to succeed in our strategy of development.

We were hoping to have Marc Hodler presiding this Congress.

You all know him. Marc is one of the most important personalities in the world of sport and has helped us enormously in our march towards Olympism. He is also one of us, as a bridge player and as former president of the Swiss Bridge Federation. All good reasons, above and beyond friendship, for the WBF Executive Council to have nominated him President of our Congress.

I am also very pleased to acknowledge the outstanding and distinguished services that Marc has rendered to the WBF. Unfortunately, he has injured his leg in an accident and may only be able to join us next week. If he does, we will present him with the WBF Honours pin.

I. IOC Matters

Let me try to update you on our actions, specially towards Olympism.

You may be aware that since Maastricht, the WBF has been officially admitted into the GAISF (General Association of International Sports Federations) with 54 votes in favour, 6 abstentions and none against. For that reason, the recognition of your peers, following President Samaranch's declaration and the elevation of the WBF to the status of International Sports Federation within the IOC, no one is entitled to dispute from now on the fact that "Bridge is a sport". Although being a sport is already an achievement, becoming an Olympic sport in another dream.

We developed this strategy because we believe that this is the one that will really help the National Bridge Organizations and the promotion of bridge.

It is the reason why we invested a great deal of money on the IOC Grand Prix in Lausanne in 1998, 1999 and 2000 and in Salt Lake City in 2002.

Thanks to Marc, we finally made it. We were present in Salt Lake City.

You have already received the World Bridge News where we

reported in depth on this event but there are also copies available here if needed.

Where does this leave us?

To be honest, I do not know. I am not very optimistic.

When I met the new IOC President, Jacques Rogge, in November 2001 in Lausanne, he told me that it would be very difficult to get bridge into the Games as the trend is to reduce the number of sports and, thus, the expense.

On the other hand, he confirmed what he said while he was still European President the year before in Warsaw, that is that he would encourage the National Olympic Committees to accept our NBOs.

And that is very important for you, as already more than half of our member countries are indeed members of their National Olympic Committee.

However, in order to get some real support, it is essential that we be included in the Games, which we are trying to achieve by lobbying on every occasion and despite the opposition of some people within the IOC. We met with Marc, Mr. Gian Franco Kasper - President of the Winter Olympic Sports Federations Association - to present our case. Now we have to meet with those members of the Programme Commission and others to try to convince them to show the same good support that Joao Havelange, the former president of FIFA showed.

I understand that the IOC Executive Board will examine a first report in Lausanne at the end of this month and the Mexico session will study some proposals in November this year. The final decision, however, will be taken during the Prague session in July 2003. We will let you know, in due course, of any progress but I strongly recommend that those of you who are still not members continue to

knock on the door of your National Olympic Committee, reminding them that President Rogge is strongly in favour and, as far as the Europeans are concerned, that President Mario Pescante will write to them.

I personally spent a lot of time traveling and visiting many NBOs and their Ministers of Sport in order to convince them. Sometimes we succeeded, as in Canada and India; other times we failed, at least for the time being, as in the US and Australia. However, we have no choice. We must be consistent and behave appropriately. We must respect the IOC rules especially with regard to the nationality of our players in our Championships, unless they



José Damiani, re-elected as President of the World Bridge Federation, makes his report to the Congress.

are transnational, of course, as well as the Anti-Doping regulations which are designed to protect our members' health as well as the fairness of the competition.

And, finally, in our own organization to respect ourselves we must give more thought to the parity between men and women as women clearly represent more than half the bridge population and are devoted to our cause.

2. Changes in WBF Constitution and By-Laws

That brings me to suggest some further changes in the WBF Constitution and By-Laws. I would remind you of what I wrote in my last report two years ago in Maastricht.

We need to give careful consideration to the purpose of having Zones: the political organization to send representatives to the WBF Executive; the geographical structure to organize zonal championships and send qualified teams to world championships; and finally, the financial melting pot.

However, we also need to pay special attention to a more democratic process for electing the members of the Executive Council of the WBF and its main officers - President, Vice-Presidents, Treasurer and Secretary.

According to other comparable international federations, the president of each zone (8 in our case) is automatically a member of the board and the other members can be elected by the Congress with a quota per zone and ensuring a minimum of parity. The President and the officers are elected by the Congress.

Personally, I would add that certain bridge VIPs could be nominated by the president and the board to add their experience and expertise.

In all of this, one might also wonder whether it would be normal for there to be a scale to the number of votes according to the number of members in any country, which incidentally is already in force in Europe.

Because we know that the Zones are unfortunately quite reluctant to make any geographical or political changes, we did not go further on this.

It remains that a consensus has now been reached to switch the WBF incorporation from New York to Lausanne, Switzerland.

For that, we have again to adopt some changes in our Constitution and By-Laws. These are set out in the attached proposal.

This is the recommendation of the Executive Council to which I hope that you will agree.

3. Our daily life, our recent past, our present and our future

It is with a certain note of sadness that I have now to continue with the third part of this report which concerns our daily life, our recent past, our present and our future.

I am sad and very pessimistic for two reasons.

One is that despite putting a great deal of effort into the promotion of the game, nothing has changed for the past eight years and, even worse, many federations have declined to make any promotional effort.

You must realize how difficult the situation is: some of you even refuse help without showing any ability to undertake effective action. I am really desperate even though I have accepted this third term, rather than take the easier and more cowardly route of quitting.

Indeed, the economical and political environment is extremely worrying.

We had to work miracles to organize the Championships in Paris instead of Bali. It shows also the financial difficulties we face.

You will recall that I brought up the need to review the dues structure six years ago in Rhodes. At that time, I had in mind a joint collaboration with the NBOs on important projects such as:

Recognition of our NBOs by the NOCs and acceptance into the Olympic Games

- ▶ Assisting junior bridge and junior development
- ▶ Developing teaching programmes
- ▶ Introducing bridge to the school systems
- ▶ Providing Tournament Directors and teacher-training sessions
- ▶ Subsidizing bridge programmes in least-developed countries
- ▶ Helping to bring new countries into the WBF
- ▶ Providing subsidized accommodation at the tournaments
- ▶ Improving the bridge administration infrastructure

Unfortunately, I have had to face some strong opposition due to the NBOs' unwillingness to ask the players themselves to pay \$1, which I find incredible.

From 1994 to 1998, we maintained our assets of \$1 million mainly by bringing in \$2 million from sponsorship grants.

From 1999 to 2002, despite the fact that we brought in another \$1.6 million, our assets decreased dangerously as we lost \$200,000 on the MSO agreement and another \$200,000 on the Bali agreement.

In 1999 we got \$95,000 (instead of \$300,000) for the World-wide Bridge Contest from MSO and \$220,000 from the Angelini Group for the IOC Grand Prix. We did get \$300,000 from Bermuda in 2000 (and ORBIS put another \$1 million into the Bermudian organization), \$55,000 for Maastricht, \$160,000 from Generali for the IOC Grand Prix (without cash prizes), and \$275,000 for the Generali Masters in Athens and \$25,000 from Bollore for the teaching programme. You all know, of course, what happened as a result of 11th September 2001: we received \$100,000 (instead of \$300,000) from the Lippo Bank, supplemented by a further \$80,000 from various sponsors, and finally \$160,000 for Salt Lake City from Generali and a further \$140,000 from various sources for Montreal, mainly from the City of Montreal and the Power Group.

In total, \$1.6 million instead of \$2 million while we lost \$400,000 as mentioned above.

That is to say that we received roughly the same amount but for specific programmes within our strategy that we managed to finance.

But if anything should go wrong with a sponsor, as it did with MSA and Lippo Bank, we are short of that money despite all our efforts.

We cannot continue like this.

Firstly, because it is a condition for our survival and secondly because it is unthinkable nowadays to imagine getting sponsorship and keeping it for our daily expenses which have already been cut to the minimum. Anna, Christine and Carol together do not even represent one permanent employee, compared to 80 in the ACBL, 40 in the French Bridge Federation or 25 in the Netherlands or England. Again, if we want to take pride in ourselves and obtain sponsorship, we cannot envisage lowering the standard of our event.

So I am again asking you to give further consideration to increasing the dues to \$1, as I have been urging repeatedly since Rhodes.

To give you time to adjust your own national policy, we would only start this in 2004. If the situation were to improve greatly between now and then, or even later, we would find a way of giving you back part of this money.

The lack of solidarity and interest is, unfortunately, patently obvious. The Symmetric International playing cards are a good example. It is clear that our sport has to be free of any possible accusation. Playing with symmetric cards, avoiding any unauthorized information, is now mandatory. I put a great deal of personal effort into this and the WBF strongly recommends the use of these cards by all the Zones and NBOs. Today, only two zones are using them - Europe and Central America and the Caribbean - as well as a few federations - the Netherlands, France and England - to whom I am grateful.

It might be a good idea to think of selling one or two decks of these cards at least to the registered bridge players if not to all. The cards would have the logo of the WBF, the Zone and the NBO and would have a card inserted into the pack that would act as a membership card or for advertising NBO information.

In such a way, the players themselves would pay the \$1 while receiving something in return and it would not cost the federation anything.

I will of course still continue to try to ensure the same kind of sponsorship grants but it is becoming more and more difficult.

However, we need to carry on with our programme or it would be a dereliction of our duty.

I intend instead to ask Sabine Auken, who has accepted, and perhaps Sharon Osberg, to work with us to help all of you to introduce bridge into schools.

Again, there is not other choice.

We have to ensure, for the sake of the future of bridge, that bridge is taught in schools just to keep up the same percentage of the population that plays bridge. It is not a problem of a decline, it is a problem of life or death.

This does not exempt us from taking care of the active people and the retired seniors. Believe me, despite the competition with other sports, bridge can be very alive and attractive. It is just a shame that we are not doing enough for that.

I cannot carry the burden alone. I need you. I need your coop-

eration. And I am counting on you.

Before leaving the floor, I would also like to inform you that the drafting committee that is working on the revision of the Laws of bridge is supposed to carry on working here for a few days after the championships have finished, and later through the Internet.

I am confident that they will do a good job and that we will be able to publish a new code describing the spirit and the philosophy of some of the laws within the next couple of years.

I believe that we did a good job with the Code of Practice for the appeals and I am astonished to see that, even in the major zones, the recommendations that were concluded and accepted are not being followed.

I need to insist yet again that, in the interest of your own players, you use this Code of Practice and the jurisprudence.

As usual, at the end of the President's report, we also update our calendar.

Unfortunately, here again, I have to admit that the situation is not flourishing.

For the 2003 Bermuda Bowl, Venice Cup and World Transnational Open Teams we are still negotiating with Bali, Poland or Mauritius.

For 2004 we were considering Istanbul, Turkey for our Olympiad.

For 2005, I would be more than pleased to organize the Bermuda Bowl in Hong Kong where I found an excellent hotel and venue, and the Netherlands are another potential candidate.

For 2006 I am discussing with Cannes, France for the World Championships which, as you know, are made up of the Rosenblum, the McConnell, and the pairs which, as you now know, will all become transnational.

However, if you feel that you would like to be a candidate for one of these events or even another future event, please do not hesitate to come forward. At the moment, nothing has been finalized.

**Thank you for your attention.
Bridge needs enthusiasm - yours.
Please help us to do even better**

Power Rosenblum Round of 32

Nickell *	USA	-	Dupuis	FRA
Attanasio	ITA	-	Ziggy *	AUS
Munawar	INA	-	Fergani	CAN
Dalal	IND	-	Naftali	ISR
Zimmermann	FRA	-	Pollack	USA
Multon	FRA	-	Monachan	ENG
Eisenberg	FRA	-	Rigal	USA
Burgay *	POL	-	Kalish *	ISR
Meltzer	USA	-	Blumenthal	FRA
Fredin	SWE	-	Kirubakaramoorthy	IND
Schwartz	USA	-	Muller	NED
Jacobs	USA	-	Maas	NED
Kowalski	POL	-	Johnson	USA
Deutsch	USA	-	Olanski *	POL
Cayne	USA	-	Cornell	NZL
Lavazza	ITA	-	Miyakuni	JPN

*Team Playing Brown Sticker Methods

McConnell Round of 16

Bessis	FRA	-	Clement	FRA
Auken	GER	-	Zhang	CHN
Baker	USA	-	Tornay	USA
Radin *	USA	-	Sutherlin	USA
Sanborn	USA	-	Klar	USA
Mancuso	USA	-	Arrigoni	ITA
Austria	AUT	-	Dhondy	ENG
Vriend	NED	-	Pigeaud	FRA

*Team Playing Brown Sticker Methods

W.B.F. LAWS COMMITTEE



A meeting of the WBF Laws Committee has been arranged to take place at 2 p.m. on Tuesday, 27th August 2002. The meeting will be held in the Appeals Committee room on the third floor of the Fairmont Queen Elizabeth Hotel.

POWER ROSENBLUM CUP

(Knockout Phase of 64)

1	Nickell	USA	Cuenca	FRA	15	24	61	32	72	0	47	31	195	87
2	Dupuis	FRA	Nader	BRA	50	11	33	12	58	12	0	0	141	35
3	Woolsey	USA	Attanasio	ITA	55	18	18	24	32	44	14	45	119	131
4	Prokhorov	RUS	Ziggy	AUS	23	41	19	39	25	25	28	28	95	133
5	Munawar	INA	Ekeblad	USA	20	16	58	28	28	21	42	10	148	75
6	Fergani	CAN	Rubin	USA	41	29	65	22	28	48	65	27	199	126
7	Chagas	BRA	Dalal	IND	32	22	30	60	43	24	27	28	132	134
8	Atay	TUR	Naftali	ISR	18	77	16	45	47	41	12	32	93	195
9	Zimmermann	FRA	Hackett	ENG	26	4	49	31	42	12	28	56	145	103
10	Noble	AUS	Pollack	USA	16	57	25	41	14	22	35	38	90	158
11	Multon	FRA	Prabhu	USA	31	37	62	0	42	22	48	17	183	76
12	Monachan	ENG	Abram	NED	21	32	63	11	12	50	39	37	135	130
13	Eisenberg	FRA	Roche	CAN	28	51	57	28	21	33	32	4	138	116
14	Forrester	ENG	Rigal	USA	14	42	35	44	42	30	29	5	120	121
15	Burgay	POL	Barrett	USA	26	37	44	23	51	10	39	13	160	83
16	O'Rourke	USA	Kalish	ISR	19	46	38	49	27	32	27	24	111	151
17	Meltzer	USA	El Ahmady	EGY	12	21	30	9	22	16	15	14	79	60
18	Blumenthal	FRA	Li	CHN	11	20	52	25	32	24	29	36	124	105
19	Fredin	SWE	Mathieu	GUA	37	36	67	4	51	26	9	45	164	111
20	Kirubakaramoorthy	IND	Angelini	ITA	28	18	32	23	34	15	18	41	112	97
21	Schwartz	USA	Poddar	IND	17	27	14	53	73	19	56	21	160	120
22	Morse	USA	Muller	NED	28	11	38	21	16	59	11	58	93	149
23	Jacobs	USA	Dechelette	FRA	19	24	27	38	41	19	28	3	115	84
24	Maas	NED	Rohowsky	GER	39	20	7	14	20	38	40	15	106	87
25	Kowalski	POL	Aagaard	DEN	42	12	43	30	35	31	23	27	143	100
26	Johnson	USA	Gromov	RUS	27	17	38	55	44	13	35	10	144	95
27	Deutsch	USA	Stakgold	USA	13	28	17	23	23	7	39	20	92	78
28	Olanski	POL	von Prooijen	NED	8	17	17	5	27	26	31	18	83	66
29	Cayne	USA	Lambardi	ARG	38	12	32	29	57	20	44	13	171	74
30	Cornell	NZL	Smith	USA	29	27	26	32	16	34	46	11	117	104
31	Lavazza	ITA	Cassar	FRA	32	19	59	14	23	31	29	13	143	77
32	Miyakuni	JPN	Allana	PAK	23	31	19	24	20	48	81	12	143	115

McCONNELL CUP

(Round-Robin Final Standings after 9 rounds)

GROUP Q			GROUP R			GROUP S			GROUP T		
1	Baker	USA 181.00	1	Mancuso	USA 164.00	1	Sanborn	USA 174.00	1	Radin	USA 176.00
2	Zhang	CHN 170.00	2	Vriend	NED 162.00	2	Austria	AUT 172.00	2	Auken	GER 172.50
3	Sutherland	USA 158.00	3	Dhondy	ENG 158.00	3	Arrigoni	ITA 147.00	3	Tornay	USA 161.00
4	Bessis	FRA 154.00	4	Klar	USA 144.50	4	Pigeaud	FRA 138.00	4	Clement	FRA 137.50
5	Wei-Sender	USA 147.00	5	Nishida	JPN 139.00	5	Letizia	USA 137.00	5	Curetti	FRA 135.00
6	Lacroix	CAN 125.00	6	Compton	USA 136.00	6	Adachi	CAN 130.00	6	Casen	USA 133.00
7	Faivre	FRA 124.00	7	Lewis	USA 135.50	7	Daryanani	VEN 127.00	7	Gordon	CAN 129.00
8	Ichihashi	JPN 96.00	8	Kerlero	FRA 133.00	8	Naito	JPN 111.00	8	Taktak	MOR 108.00
9	Grenside	AUS 71.00	9	Klein	REU 48.00	9	Clifford	USA 100.00	9	Nakakawaji	JPN 77.00

SENIORS TEAMS**After 4 Rounds**

1	Otvosi	POL	85.00
2	Cohen	USA/CAN	78.00
3	Holt	USA	74.00
4	Budd	USA	71.00
5	Marsal	GER	71.00
6	Levine	USA	70.75
7	Spengler	SWI	70.00
8	Sternberg	USA	68.75
9	Robinson	CAN	68.00
10	Schippers	NLD	68.00
11	Keidan	USA	67.00
12	Freed	USA	66.00
13	Revoll	USA/CAN	65.00
14	Markowicz	TRANS	64.00
15	Priebe	CAN	62.00
16	Janssens	NLD	61.00
17	Mortelmans	BEL	60.00
18	Wegman	USA	59.75
19	Hertz	USA	56.00
20	Kniest	USA	55.00
21	Humburg	GER	53.00
22	Harper	UK/USA	53.00
23	Romik	ISR/FRA	52.00
24	Leblanc	CAN	49.00
25	Clark	CAN	46.00
26	McGowan	UK	45.00
27	Garber	USA	44.75
28	Schaeffer	USA	44.75
29	Ajanía	KEN/CAN	40.00
30	Campbell	USA	38.75
31	Korkut	TUR/ENG	33.00

ZONAL TEAMS**After 6 Rounds**

1	Baze	USA/CAN	122	33	Hardeman	BEL	86
2	Morath	SWE	122	34	Fraser	CAN	86
3	Kujirai	CAN	121	35	Moazzem Hussain	BAN	85
4	Kaufmann	ENG	110	36	Marcoux	CAN	83
5	Dhondy	ENG	108	37	Berrada	MOR	83
6	Courtney	ENG/ROM	108	38	Haramati	ISR	83
7	Hanayama	JPN	107	39	Cope	SAF	83
8	Zen	HKG	107	40	Thibault	CAN	82
9	Gartaganis	CAN	107	41	Wells	CAN	82
10	Grue	USA/CAN	106	42	Hammeed	BAH	81
11	Colchamiro	USA	106	43	Bernazzani	VEN	81
12	Ganzer	USA	105	44	Friedman	CAN	81
13	Green	CAN	104	45	Diamond	USA	80
14	Marcinski	CAN	103	46	Chartrand	CAN	80
15	Bompis	FRA	102	47	Castanho	BRA	80
16	Willis	CAN/SWI	101	48	Dalvi	IND	79
17	Jones	USA/CAN	100	49	Fradette	CAN	79
18	Retek	CAN	99	50	Dohnert	VEN	79
19	Rao	IND	99	51	Lungo	ROM	79
20	Goldsmith	USA	98	52	Wenning	GER	78
21	Taciuc	ROM	97	53	Toczko	CAN	78
22	Ren	CHI	96	54	ISCO	ISR	77
23	Hicks	CAN	95	55	Lahaie	CAN	76
24	Eber	SAF	93	56	Bouveresse	GUA	76
25	Summers	CAN	92	57	Van Ekeren	USA/CAN	73
26	Teramoto	JPN	91	58	Yeung	HKG	68
27	Pisarra	USA	90	59	Page	CAN	67
28	Davidson	CAN/USA	89	60	Ellington	USA/CAN	65
29	Pasquini	VEN	88	61	Germon	MAR	62
30	Voltaire	FRA	88	62	Higashiguchi	JPN	61
31	Lewaciak	POL	88	63	Boyer	REU	59
32	Czerniewski	ENG	86				

SEEDING

Rosenblum		McConnell			
masterpoints		masterpoints			
1	Nickell	12920	1	Bessis	8870
2	Lavazza	10193	2	Vriend	7942
3	Meltzer	10133	3	Sanborn	7584
4	Burgay	9763	4	Radin	6703
5	Zimmerman	6335	5	Auken	5772
6	Schwartz	6000	6	Austria	4946
7	Kowalski	5256	7	Wei-Sender	4676
8	Munawar	5186	8	Gordon	4544
9	Chagas	4784			
10	Welland	4066			
11	Deutsch	3548			
12	Jacobs	3162			
13	Multon	2700			
14	Eisenberg	2674			
15	Fredin	2566			
16	Cayne	2493			
17	Woolsey	2229			
18	Johnson	1966			
19	Monachan	1864			
20	Fergani	1765			

The top group of teams in each event is decided by adding together the number of WBF masterpoints held by the top four ranking players in each team.

JUNIOR PAIRS**Final Standings after 2 Rounds**

			1st	2nd	Total
1	Bessis - de Tessieres	FRA	62.73	67.34	64.66
2	Pahk - Doty	USA	58.56	54.14	56.73
3	Hay - Nunn	AUS	52.08	59.06	56.59
4	Hobeika - Leprovost	FRA	52.78	58.10	55.28
5	Lo Presti - Mazzadi	ITA	57.18	46.69	52.24
6	Bessis - Gaviard	FRA	53.01	51.80	52.19
7	Di Bello - Guariglia	ITA	56.02	46.07	51.58
8	Livgard - Dybdahl	NOR	53.70	48.83	51.41
9	Wyner - Wilsmore	AUS	51.85	52.79	51.07
10	Pearlman - Beck	USA	40.97	59.43	49.66
11	Nicolodi - Sbarigia	ITA	47.22	51.95	49.20
12	Hideaki - Hideaki	JAP	49.31	47.58	48.49
13	Grenthe - Grenthe	FRA	46.06	49.92	47.63
14	Lula - Swiatek	POL	56.94	28.28	43.70
15	Aubonnet - Vinay	FRA	42.36	43.36	43.08
16	Byrne - Morris	ENG	39.81	47.24	43.06
17	Lachance - Shah	CAN/ENG	35.88	48.36	42.00

Junior Jousting

As most of you will know, there was too small an entry to justify holding a separate Junior Teams event here so the juniors played in the Rosenblum Qualifying instead. The most successful of the junior teams was the English team, BYRNE, which finished fifth in Group A. Our first board comes from their match with the Italian team PAGANI, most of whom won the European Youth Team Championships a few weeks ago.

♠ 9 3 ♥ J 8 6 5 4 ♦ 9 5 2 ♣ A Q 8	♠ A 10 ♥ K 9 2 ♦ A K 10 3 ♣ K J 7 2	♠ K Q 6 4 2 ♥ A 10 7 3 ♦ Q J ♣ 10 4	<table style="width: 100%; text-align: center; border: 1px solid black;"> <tr><td>N</td></tr> <tr><td>W E</td></tr> <tr><td>S</td></tr> </table> ♠ J 8 7 5 ♥ Q ♦ 8 7 6 5 ♣ 9 6 5 3	N	W E	S
N						
W E						
S						

West	North	East	South
	<i>Morris</i>		<i>Byrne</i>
	1♣	1♠	3♣
Pass	3NT	All Pass	

Michael Byrne's 3♣ raise was pre-emptive and perhaps Alex Morris's conversion to 3NT was a little optimistic. Of course, South could have been a little stronger, but 3NT still rated to be a poor spot. Still, the play's the thing, as they say.

East led the two of spades to declarer's ten. Morris cashed the top diamonds and the fall of the queen and jack offered some slim prospects of success. Morris played two more rounds of diamonds



Hector Camberos

to get to dummy, East throwing a club and an encouraging heart and West a heart. When declarer's next move was to lead a low club off the table, West went in with the ace but, rather than clear the spades, followed his partner's signal by switching to a heart. East won the ♥A and returned the ♥10. Morris won the king of hearts, cashed the ace of spades and exited with the ♥9. West had to win the heart and could cash one more but then had to lead away from the queen of clubs at trick twelve; nine tricks. The Italian N/S pair played a club partscore for +130.

The defence could have done a little better on that one but Byrne/Morris came up against a much hotter defence on this next deal against the LAMBARDI team from Argentina.

♠ 7 6 ♥ Q 10 8 7 5 4 3 ♦ 6 3 ♣ 9 4	♠ K Q 10 9 4 ♥ J ♦ A J 9 8 ♣ 10 7 2	♠ J 3 ♥ A K 9 2 ♦ K Q 4 ♣ Q J 8 3	<table style="width: 100%; text-align: center; border: 1px solid black;"> <tr><td>N</td></tr> <tr><td>W E</td></tr> <tr><td>S</td></tr> </table> ♠ A 8 5 2 ♥ 6 ♦ 10 7 5 2 ♣ A K 6 5	N	W E	S
N						
W E						
S						

West	North	East	South
<i>Camberos</i>	<i>Morris</i>	<i>Lambardi</i>	<i>Byrne</i>
2♦	2♥	3♥	4♠
All Pass		INT	Pass

Two Diamonds was a transfer and 2♥ take-out of hearts. The opening lead was the nine of clubs to the ten, jack and king. Byrne drew trumps in two rounds then led the two of clubs to the queen and ace. Next came a club to the seven and eight. Seeing that he was in danger of being endplayed, Lambardi underled the ace-king of hearts at this point. Camberos wasted no time in returning a diamond and declarer had to duck. But now Lambardi could get out with his low club and wait for declarer to play on diamonds; one down.

In the other room, the English E/W pair competed to 5♥. This was not doubled but was three down for -150.

Sonicwall - Our firewalls protect whilst Bill Gates plays! - Sonicwall (www.sonicwall.com) sponsor of firewalls to the WBF.



Missed Chances

The team captained by Roy Welland has made its mark in recent major ACBL tournament, including a victory last fall in the tough Reisinger Board-a-Match Teams.

The squad - Welland, Bjorn Fallenius, Fred Gitelman, Brad Moss, Howard Weinstein and Steve Garner - started well, chalking up 49 Victory Points in their first two matches of the round robin. The team fell on hard times after that, however, and could look back on two losses - to Poddar of India and Abram of the Netherlands - as the killers. When all was said and done in the round robin, Welland was in fifth place, one Victory Point out of the qualifying fourth spot. Just ahead of them were Poddar at 149 and Abram at 152.5.

Despite their difficulties in the middle matches of the round robin, Welland had a chance with one round to go. They had scored 23 and 24 VPs in the seventh and eighth matches to lie fourth, half a VP ahead of Abram.

The Welland team had their work cut out for them, however, as their final match was against the Polish team captained by Wojtek Olanski, who were leading the group by a healthy margin.

Welland won the match 48-44, but it was not enough as Abram beat Poddar by 30 IMPs to surge into third place. Welland needed 6 more IMPs against Olanski to continue playing in the Power Rosenblum.

This deal was especially annoying for Gitelman and Moss, who faced Boguslaw Gierulski and Jerzy Skrzpaczak in the first half.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

<p>♠ 8 5 ♥ J 9 8 ♦ K Q 10 7 6 4 3 ♣ 6</p>	<table style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: auto;"> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">N</td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">W E</td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">S</td></tr> </table>	N	W E	S	<p>♠ A Q 6 4 3 2 ♥ 6 ♦ J ♣ A Q 8 4 2</p>
N					
W E					
S					
<p>♠ K 10 7 ♥ A 5 4 ♦ 8 2 ♣ J 9 7 5 3</p>		<p>♠ J 9 ♥ K Q 10 7 3 2 ♦ A 9 5 ♣ K 10</p>			

West	North	East	South
<i>Gitelman</i>	<i>Skrzpczak</i>	<i>Moss</i>	<i>Gierulski</i>
Pass	1♠	2♠	1♥
3♠	4♥	4♠	3♥
Pass	5♥	All Pass	Pass

Had Gitelman or Moss doubled, they would have collected plus 500, a 4-IMP loss instead of the 10 they lost (East-West collected plus 650 at the other table in their spade game). If North's 1♠ bid was genuine, however, it was likely that South was void in the suit, so doubling 5♥ was not clear cut.

Perhaps spurred by the poor result on that board, Gitelman and Moss got it back on the next deal.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

<p>♠ Q 5 ♥ A 9 6 3 ♦ A 5 3 ♣ 8 7 4 2</p>	<table style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: auto;"> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">N</td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">W E</td></tr> <tr><td style="text-align: center;">S</td></tr> </table>	N	W E	S	<p>♠ 6 4 2 ♥ 5 ♦ K Q 8 6 2 ♣ K J 10 9</p>	<p>♠ K J 10 9 8 3 ♥ K Q J 8 7 ♦ J 4 ♣ -</p>
N						
W E						
S						
		<p>♠ A 7 ♥ 10 4 2 ♦ 10 9 7 ♣ A Q 6 5 3</p>				

West	North	East	South
<i>Gitelman</i>	<i>Skrzpczak</i>	<i>Moss</i>	<i>Gierulski</i>
Pass	Pass	1♠	Pass
INT	Pass	3♥	Pass
4♦	Pass	4♥	Pass
5♥	Pass	6♥	All Pass

North's failure to double the 4♦ cuebid is curious - and he certainly had reason to regret the decision when his partner started the defense against the slam with the ♣A. Moss ruffed and could claim as soon as both opponents followed to the first round of trumps. Moss had 12 tricks via five trumps in hand, five spades, the ♦A and a diamond ruff.



Brad Moss

'It's Bridge Jim but not as we know it'

Jan Jansma was probably surprised to discover that he had made no less than eight tricks more than his counterpart at the other table.

Dealer South. None Vul

♠ A Q J 10 7 4 ♥ K J 10 5 ♦ 2 ♣ Q 9	<table style="margin: auto;"> <tr><td></td><td>N</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>W</td><td></td><td>E</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>S</td><td></td></tr> </table>		N		W		E		S		♠ 8 2 ♥ A 6 4 ♦ A K 10 7 4 ♣ 10 7 6	♠ 9 6 3 ♥ 9 8 3 2 ♦ Q 8 3 ♣ 4 3 2
	N											
W		E										
	S											
	♠ K 5 ♥ Q 7 ♦ J 9 6 5 ♣ A K J 8 5											

West	North	East	South
	<i>Verhees</i>		<i>Jansma</i>
			INT
2♠	3♣*	Pass	3♦*
Pass	3♠*	Pass	3NT

West led the ace of spades and continued the suit. Declarer obviously needed to find one of the minor suit queens and his first move was a diamond to the ace, followed by a club to the ace. A second diamond meant one chance had gone but declarer still had a string to his bow and he played a second club. Considering it unlikely that West would have played the nine from ♣94 (East had followed with the two and three of clubs) he put up the king and was rewarded by the fall of the queen.

When declarer, now assured of nine tricks cashed his clubs West decided to blank his king of hearts, while East threw a spade, so declarer was able to give up a diamond and make two over-tricks, +460.

After a similar sequence at the other table and against the same contract, West led the jack of hearts. Afraid of letting East in for an obviously fatal spade switch declarer put up the ace, cashed the top diamonds and then ran the ten of clubs. West won, cashed the king of hearts, put his partner in with the nine of hearts and collected the remaining tricks when his partner played a spade, just six down, -300.

Double Endplay

by Barry Rigal

As we have seen before, England's Hackett twins are dangerous adversaries, partly due to their aggressive approach in the auction. This deal was a case in point.

♠ A 9 2 ♥ J 10 4 3 ♦ Q 5 ♣ K 10 9 5	<table style="margin: auto;"> <tr><td></td><td>N</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>W</td><td></td><td>E</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>S</td><td></td></tr> </table>		N		W		E		S		♠ K Q 8 3 ♥ 5 2 ♦ J 8 3 ♣ A 7 6 3	♠ J 7 5 4 ♥ K ♦ K 10 9 7 6 2 ♣ 8 4
	N											
W		E										
	S											
		♠ 10 6 ♥ A Q 9 8 7 6 ♦ A 4 ♣ Q J 2										

West	North	East	South
	<i>Jason</i>		<i>Justin</i>
		Pass	1♥
Pass	1♠	Pass	2♥
Pass	3♥	Pass	4♥
All Pass			

When you bid them up you have to play them up. Jason's 3♥ bid might look a little forward but 4♥ was a fair spot. On the lead of the ten of clubs Justin ducked in dummy and East contributed a standard-count eight.

A spade to the queen scored as East started an echo, and a trump was led to the king and ace. Justin took this and played a second spade up and East won his ace and exited with a third spade, allowing Justin to pitch his losing diamond. Justin crossed to the ace of diamonds then led the ♥9 from hand. West won and was endplayed for the first time, obliged to lead his remaining diamond as either a heart or a club would give a trick immediately. Justin ruffed the diamond and played queen and another heart and West was endplayed for the second time. Having used up his only safe exit card after the previous endplay, he was now obliged to lead away from the king of clubs and Justin had held his losers to three. Nicely done, though West could have defeated the contract had he switched to a diamond when in with the ace of spades.

Sonicwall - Our firewalls protect whilst Bill Gates plays! - Sonicwall (www.sonicwall.com) sponsor of firewalls to the WBF.



Recorder Wanted

e-bridge requires a recorder on Monday & Tuesday August 26 & 27. There will be a training session on Sunday August 25. Monetary compensation will be supplied. If you are interested please contact Pinhas Romik at 861 3511 Room 1470.

Work it out

If you can work out the correct defensive play on this deal from the Power Rosenblum Knockout Teams, you will earn 14 IMPs for your squad

This is your hand:

♠ K 10 8 3 2
♥ A K 9 4 3
♦ 10 5
♣ 9

You, West, open 1♠ and hear the following auction:

West	North	East	South
1♠	2♦	Pass	3♣
Pass	3♠	Pass	4♣
Pass	5♦	Pass	6♣
All Pass			

You lead a high heart and view this dummy:

♠ Q J
♥ Q 8 5
♦ A K Q J 9 4 3
♣ 8

Partner's heart indicates he has four of them. How do you proceed? How do you arrive at the correct solution? Given South's spade cuebid, that suit is out, so it's between diamonds and clubs, although perhaps you should not rule out a heart continuation despite what you know about the suit.

Obviously, the diamonds are imposing, and if declarer has a singleton it is almost surely necessary to play one now to cut him off from all those tricks before he can draw trumps. If declarer has more than one diamond, you will have to rely on partner for a trump trick - unlikely given the auction.

Can it be wrong to play on diamonds? Could South be void in the suit? If so, he has 12 black cards - possibly eight clubs and four spades? Nine clubs and three spades? How about 10 clubs and two spades, in which case you must exit a club.

Unfortunately, partner has done no bidding, so you have no clues from his side. So just take your best shot.

Zonal Teams

The teams in the Power Rosenblum not qualified for the round of 32 may enter the second day of the Zonal teams played in the Hilton, starting at 10.00.

The Women's teams not qualified for the McConnell knock out may also join the Zonal.

Teams entering the competition will receive a carry over in VP equal to the average of the top 12 teams in this Zonal event after one day.

Here is the full deal:

♠ K 10 8 3 2	♠ Q J	♠ 9 7 5 4						
♥ A K 9 4 3	♥ Q 8 5	♥ J 7 6 2						
♦ 10 5	♦ A K Q J 9 4 3	♦ 8 7 6 2						
♣ 9	♣ 8	♣ J						
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>N</th> <th>E</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>W</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>S</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	N	E	W			S	
N	E							
W								
	S							
	♠ A 6							
	♥ 10							
	♦ -							
	♣ A K Q 10 7 6 5 4 3 2							

Yes, you must play South for a 10-card club suit. Against David Berkowitz, who was South, West continued a diamond and declarer was soon writing plus 920 in his score sheet.

This was the auction at the other table, where Michael Rosenberg and Zia Mahmood held the East-West cards.

West	North	East	South
Rosenberg		Zia	
Pass	1♦	Pass	3♣
3♦	Dble	4♦	6♣
All Pass			

Rosenberg also led a high heart, ending up with a push board when he continued with a diamond as well. As you can see, it turns out that playing a second high heart is also a winner.

AFRICAN BRIDGE FEDERATION

The 8th ABF meeting will take place on Saturday, 24th and eventually Sunday 25th August 2002 at 9.00 a.m. in the Saint Maurice room on the Conference floor at the Fairmont Queen Elizabeth Hotel, as per the following agenda:

1. Confirmation of minutes of 12th February 2001 in Cairo
 2. Zone council news
 3. ABF accounts
 4. Yearly subscription
 5. Zone 8 representation. Junior World Championship.
 6. Bermuda Bowl/Venice Cup and All Africa Championships, Gaborone, Botswana.
 7. Master Points register.
 8. AOB
 9. Date of next meeting.
- All delegates and members of Zone 8 are cordially invited to attend.

CLEAR AND EASY

by Ed Franken

The reigning European champions Bep Vriend and Marijke van der Pas from the Netherlands started with a nice defence in their first match of the McConnell against Kerlero (France).

Dealer West. All Vul

♠ A 6 2 ♥ J 8 4 ♦ 10 8 3 2 ♣ J 6 2	♠ 10 9 ♥ A 7 6 2 ♦ Q 6 5 4 ♣ A Q 5	<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr><td style="padding: 5px;">N</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 5px;">W E</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 5px;">S</td></tr> </table>	N	W E	S	♠ K 3 ♥ Q 10 9 ♦ K J 9 7 ♣ 10 9 8 3
N						
W E						
S						

West	North	East	South
Bello	Vriend	Le Sur	van der Pas
1♠	Pass	2♦	Pass
2♠	Pass	3NT	All Pass

South led the nine of clubs, promising the ten but not an honour. East won with the ace and played the ten of spades, which took the trick. The spade nine continuation went to South's king. Van der Pas continued with the diamond seven for the ace in dummy. On the next spade East threw the heart two and what about South? She realised that her diamond seven was, in her system, not very encouraging. To make it clear and easy for her partner she threw away the queen of hearts!

Vriend got the message and returned the only card to defeat the contract: the ten of diamonds. There was nothing East could do, and N/S took three diamond tricks to defeat the contract. At the other table Hoogweg-van Zwol bid and made Four Spades.



The WBF is very grateful for the generosity of SNC-Lavalin (www.snc-lavalin.com) for the loan of the computers and printers for the World Championships, and to Extreme Networks (www.extremenetworks.com) for the loan of the network hubs.



Baron Barclay All the latest books software & gifts

You can buy symmetrical cards, like those in use in this championship

Queen Elizabeth Hotel

Open before and after each session



09.30 - 10.00
12.30 - 14.00
16.00 - 17.30
20.00 - 21.00



Hilton Hotel

11.30 - 13.00
18.30 - 19.30
23.00 - 24.00



Duck Soup

by Barry Rigal

My favourite play hand of the tournament is not a complex deal but, as it produced a 17 IMP swing I guess that it may be more complex than it appears.

Holding the following two hands you reach 6NT. East passes, you open INT and LHO, a Canadian junior, jumps to 3♣ with both sides vulnerable. Partner just blasts 6NT.

♠ 10 5 3
♥ A Q 4
♦ K Q 5
♣ A Q 5 3

N
W E
S

♠ A K J
♥ K 5 3 2
♦ A 9 6 2
♣ 8 7

There are only ten top tricks, assuming the club finesse to be on-side, but there is play in all four suits for one more. On the ♠ lead you should win in dummy, cash the ace of spades and cross to the queen of hearts to take the spade finesse, on which West pitches a club. Now the key play is to duck the first club. East pitches a spade and you win the club return. Now you cash the king of spades and, on the surface, have to decide whether West is more likely to be 1-4-1-7 or 1-1-4-7. The lead of the jack of diamonds has, however, allowed you to pick up a diamond shortage with West.

So you cash the king of hearts, on which West pitches a club, and now you are double dummy.

Crossing to the ace of hearts squeezes West in the minors and you pick up 17 IMPs because in the other room declarer forgot to duck the first club and now his timing was messed up.

Eye on the prize

Barry Rigal is well known as a contributor to Daily Bulletins at the world championships and at ACBL "nationals" and as a vugraph commentator at WBF and ACBL tournaments. His exploits as a player are less well known, but he is solid there as well.

The Rigal team went up against the Tony Forrester squad in the Power Rosenblum round of 64. Rigal was playing with Joanna Stansby and Connie Goldberg-Joann Sprung, Danny Sprung-Paul Lewis.

At the halfway point, the Rigal team was ahead 86-49 against Forrester, Andrew Robson, David Bakhshi and Alexander Allfrey.

This deal was an early gainer for the Rigal squad.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

♠ 9 8 7 5 3											
♥ A K 8											
♦ –											
♣ Q 8 7 6 3											
♠ 6 4		♠ A K Q J 2									
♥ J 7 5		♥ 10 2									
♦ A 7 6		♦ K J 4 3									
♣ A J 10 5 4		♣ K 9									
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>N</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>W</td><td></td><td>E</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>S</td><td></td></tr> </table>	N			W		E		S		
N											
W		E									
	S										
♠ 10											
♥ Q 9 6 4 3											
♦ Q 10 9 8 5 2											
♣ 2											

This was the auction in the open room.



Joanna Stansby

West	North	East	South
Stansby	Robson	Rigal	Forrester
	Pass	1♠	Pass
INT	Pass	2♦	Pass
2♠	Pass	2NT	Pass
3NT	All Pass		

INT was forcing for one round. North led a low club and declarer had nine tricks despite the bad breaks in three of the four suits.

The contract was the same at the other table, but this time a heart was led and the result was one off. That was 10 IMPs to Rigal.

On this deal, Rigal made a good guess to make his contract, but Forrester missed a chance to assure the contract's defeat.

Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.

♠ 5 4											
♥ A K Q 10 3											
♦ 9 8											
♣ A Q 4 3											
♠ 8 7 6 3		♠ A K Q 2									
♥ J 8 7 4		♥ 9 6									
♦ A 7 2		♦ K J 10 6 5									
♣ K 5		♣ 6 2									
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>N</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>W</td><td></td><td>E</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>S</td><td></td></tr> </table>	N			W		E		S		
N											
W		E									
	S										
♠ J 10 9											
♥ 5 2											
♦ Q 4 3											
♣ J 10 9 8 7											

West	North	East	South
Stansby	Robson	Rigal	Forrester
Pass	1♥	1♠	Pass
3♥	Pass	3♠	All Pass

Forrester led the ♥5 to the 4, 10 and 6. Robson continued with the ♥Q, then the king, indicating his liking for clubs. Rigal ruffed the third round of hearts with the ♠Q, and Forrester threw the ♣J. The contract could not be defeated from that point. Rigal cashed the ♠A then passed the ♦J through South. Rigal then cashed the ♠K and played on diamonds.

Forrester could not ruff in until the fourth round of diamonds, and on that trick, Rigal pitched one of dummy's clubs. The defenders ended with one club, one spade and two hearts and Rigal scored up plus 140. As you can see, Forrester must pitch a diamond on the third round of hearts. He can then ruff in before Rigal can get a pitch and push a club through the king before it's too late.

Stansby and Rigal cooperated well to find the killing defense on this deal near the end of the first set.

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

♠ A 10 9 3 ♥ 10 ♦ Q 9 2 ♣ 9 8 7 6 5	N W E S	♠ K 6 ♥ A Q ♦ J 10 7 5 ♣ A Q 4 3 2	♠ Q 4 2 ♥ K J 6 2 ♦ A K 8 6 3 ♣
--	-------------------	---	--

West	North	East	South
<i>Stansby</i>	<i>Robson</i>	<i>Rigal</i>	<i>Forrester</i>
	INT	Dble ⁽¹⁾	Pass
2♣ ⁽²⁾	Pass	2♦	2♥
3♦	Pass	Pass	3♥
All Pass			

⁽¹⁾ Five or more of a minor, four or more of a major.

⁽²⁾ Relay for the minor.

Stansby got off to the excellent lead of the ♦Q, switching to a the ♣9 when Rigal played the ♦3. Forrester won the ♣K and played a heart to the queen and king. A heart was returned to the ace, and Forrester ruffed a diamond to lead the ♥9 to Rigal's jack. Rigal when played a spade to Stansby's ace and took the setting trick with a club ruff.

The second half started off with a 10-IMP gain for Rigal when Goldberg pulled the wrong card from the bid box, accidentally deflecting the defenders from their best line.



Alexander Allfrey

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

♠ Q 9 4 ♥ A K 9 6 ♦ A 9 5 4 ♣ K J	N W E S	♠ K J 8 2 ♥ Q 7 3 2 ♦ 6 3 ♣ 9 6 5	♠ 5 3 ♥ 8 4 ♦ Q 10 8 7 2 ♣ A Q 10 4
--	-------------------	--	--

West	North	East	South
<i>Goldberg</i>	<i>Bakhshi</i>	<i>J. Sprung</i>	<i>Allfrey</i>
	Pass	Pass	Pass
INT	Pass	2♣	Pass
2♠(!)	Pass	2NT	Pass
3NT	All Pass		

Goldberg didn't realize until the deal was completed that she had bid 2♠ instead of the 2♥ she thought she had pulled from the bid box. The 2♠ bid kept Bakhshi from leading the suit, of course, and he started with a low heart. Goldberg to the ♥A and played the ♣J to the queen, followed by the ♦Q, covered by the king and ace. When Allfrey got in with the ♦J, he could have defeated the contract by switching to spades, but he was never going to find that defense given the auction. Goldberg duly scored up plus 430.

At the other table, Sprung led a spade, dooming declarer to minus 50.

This deal was a huge gain for the Rigal team.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

♠ 10 4 ♥ J 8 6 ♦ 6 4 3 ♣ J 8 7 6 2	N W E S	♠ J 9 7 2 ♥ A K 10 3 ♦ K 10 7 ♣ K 3	♠ Q 8 5 3 ♥ Q 7 4 ♦ Q 9 8 ♣ 10 9 4
---	-------------------	--	---

In the closed room, Danny Sprung played in 6NT, receiving the lead of a low club. He cashed two high spades, gratefully noting the fall of the 10, knocked out the ♠Q and later guessed to play East for the ♦Q. The friendly diamond break saw him home for plus 1440.

This is what happened in the open room.

West	North	East	South
Goldberg	Bakhshi	J. Sprung	Allfrey
Pass	1♥	Pass	1♦
Pass	3♥ ⁽¹⁾	Pass	2NT
Pass	4♦	Pass	3NT
Pass	5NT ⁽²⁾	Pass	4♠
All Pass		Pass	6NT

⁽¹⁾ Transfer, indicating 4-4 in the majors.

⁽²⁾ Pick a slam.

Goldberg led the ♣8, so it wasn't clear who had length in clubs. Allfrey won the lead in hand with the ace, played a heart to the ace and a spade to the ace. He then played a diamond to dummy's 10. Sprung won the queen and returned a club. At that point, he needed a miracle in spades, and it was not forthcoming. Allfrey finished down two for a 17-IMP loss.

Forrester and Robson had a good auction on this deal to get 10 IMPs back.

Board 12. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

	♠ 9 8 6 5 4 3										
	♥ 8										
	♦ 7 3										
	♣ 8 7 6 5										
♠ Q J 10 7	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"> <tr><td></td><td>N</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>W</td><td></td><td>E</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>S</td><td></td></tr> </table>		N		W		E		S		♠ -
	N										
W		E									
	S										
♥ K J 4 2		♥ A 9 3									
♦ K J 9		♦ A Q 6 4 2									
♣ A Q		♣ J 10 4 3 2									
	♠ A K 2										
	♥ Q 10 7 6 5										
	♦ 10 8 5										
	♣ K 9										

In the open room, Goldberg opened 1NT and rebid 3NT after Sprung bid 3♦, a game-forcing bid showing length in the minors. With so much in the majors, Goldberg reasonably thought her high cards might be wasted opposite East's shortness.

North led a spade and the defenders took the first two tricks, but that was all. Plus 460 to Rigal.

At the other table:

West	North	East	South
Forrester		Robson	
1NT	Pass	2♠	Pass
3♣ ⁽¹⁾	Pass	3♠ ⁽²⁾	Pass
3NT	Pass	4♠	Pass
6♦	All Pass		

⁽¹⁾ Maximum 1NT opener.

⁽²⁾ Shortness.

Forrester also thought 3NT would be the best spot, but Robson insisted, so Forrester bid his best minor. With the ♣K conveniently located in the pocket and only a doubleton, the slam rolled home.

Bridge - the New Way

As you can imagine in a working day that starts at 07.00 and finishes around 23.00 there is no shortage of time to discuss how and why Bridge will evolve in the 21st Century.

With many sports now freely allowing the use of tactical substitutes why not allow them in Bridge? Our simplistic idea would be for a player who was clearly out of form to advise his Captain or Coach who would replace them with another member of the team, or even another pair. This could happen at any time during a match - and in some cases we can imagine it would not need too many boards to be played!

An even more revolutionary idea was proposed by someone who prefers to remain nameless - has the initials PO - can anyone identify him? - who suggests that teams should be made up of players who have specific roles - as in American Football - those who are experts in bidding, those who specialize in opening leads, brilliant defenders and top class declarers. Then tactical substitutions could be made all the time, with players coming in just to make the opening lead and then retiring to the bench to await another opportunity.

Wouldn't you like to be renowned as a 'pinch bidder'?

This has tremendous implications for professionals, especially those whose specialty is shuffling.

Schedule of Events

Subject to Confirmation

McConnell Cup (Queen Eliz.)

Round of 16 10.00-12.00, 12.20-14.20
15.30-17.30, 18.00-20.00

Power Rosenblum Cup (Queen Eliz.)

Round of 32 10.00-12.00, 12.20-14.20
15.30-17.30, 18.00-20.00

Zonal Teams (Hilton) 10.00

Senior Teams (Queen Eliz.) 10.00

Junior Event (Queen Eliz.) 10.00

SCHEDULE OF CAN-AM 2002

Saturday August 24

09.00	Bracketed Morning KO#3	4th Session
	Bracketed Morning KO#4	2nd Session
	Morning Contin. Pairs#2	2nd Session
13.00	Stratiflighted Pairs	1st Session
	Bracketed KO#3	1st Session
	Continuous Pairs#3	5th Session
19.30	Stratiflighted Pairs	2nd Session
	Bracketed KO#3	2nd Session
	Continuous Pairs#3	5th Session

An Everyday Hand

by Sam Leckie

In a great match between Gromov and Schwartz in the Power Rosenblum qualifying this deal took the award as the most spectacular:

Dealer East. E/W Vul

♠ 9 8 6 ♥ Q 9 6 3 ♦ 4 ♣ Q 10 7 6 2	♠ K J 10 5 ♥ — ♦ A 10 9 6 2 ♣ 8 5 4 3	♠ A Q 3 ♥ K 10 7 ♦ K 7 5 3 ♣ A J 9	♠ 7 4 2 ♥ A J 8 5 4 2 ♦ Q J 8 ♣ K
---	--	---	--

West	North	East	South
Zia	Gromov	Rosenberg	Petrinin
		INT	Pass
2♦*	Pass	2♥	Pass
Pass	Dble	Redble	Pass
3♣	Pass	Pass	Dble
All Pass			

When Zia asked Gromov what South's third pass meant he was told that South was happy to play in that contract, (a bit of an understatement perhaps). Zia realised that if South was happy he wasn't and he retreated to his real five card suit. North led a small club and Zia called for dummy's ace! Now he could not be prevented from making eleven tricks for a score of +1070.

'Just an ordinary everyday hand playing with Zia' Rosenberg said to me later.

World Championship Book 2002

The official book of these Championships will be available early next year at a price of \$30. However, if you wish to pre-order and pay for your copy of the book here in Montreal, there is a special price of \$25 or Can\$40, including surface mail to anywhere in the world.

To place your order please see Elly Ducheyne in the Press Room.

Principle analysts will be Eric Kokish, Brian Senior and Barry Rigal, with other contributions from guest writers. The intention is to cover every board in the final and semi-finals of the Power Rosenblum and the final session of both Open and Women's Pairs events, plus substantial coverage of the earlier stages of these events and all other Championship events. There will be a comprehensive results listing and many photographs.

Innocent Discard

West's first discard looked to be completely harmless on this deal from the McConnell Qualifying, but it proved to have fatal consequences.

♠ 7 ♥ K 3 2 ♦ Q 9 4 2 ♣ J 10 9 6 2	♠ A K 5 ♥ 7 6 5 4 ♦ 5 ♣ Q 8 7 4 3	♠ 10 8 6 3 2 ♥ A J 10 8 ♦ J 8 3 ♣ K	♠ Q J 9 4 ♥ Q 9 ♦ A K 10 7 6 ♣ A 5
---	--	--	---

West	North	East	South
Tornay	Pollack	Michaels	Disa
			INT
Pass	2♣	Pass	2♦
Pass	2NT	Pass	3NT
All Pass			

When dummy went down, Hjordis Eythorsdottir (Disa) did not feel very hopeful of success. Things improved a little when she played low from dummy on the lead of the club jack and the king popped up. Still, where was a ninth trick to be found?

Disa won the ace of clubs and crossed to dummy with a spade to lead a heart for the queen and king. West, Claire Tornay, had no reason to know the true heart position and, of course, if declarer held the ♥A, she would have only one of the top diamonds. So Tornay switched to a low diamond for the jack and king. Now Disa played a second spade to dummy and Tornay pitched a heart, as would many of us, I think. The contract was now cold!

Disa played a second heart and Terry Michaels, sitting East, won. But West's discard meant that defensive communications had been cut. If the hearts were not cashed now, declarer would win the return and cash her black winners then put West in with a club to lead into the ace-ten of diamonds at the end. So Michaels cashed her heart winners, only to squeeze her partner in the minors. Disa won the diamond switch and cashed two spade winners and West had no answer. Three No Trump made for +600, while at the other table North/South played in the strange contract of 4♣ down three; 14 IMPs to the RADIN team.

I.B.P.A. EXECUTIVE

There will be a meeting of the Executive on Sunday morning, 25th August at 08.30 in the president's suite, the Saint Charles meeting room.

POWER ROSENBLUM CUP

(Roster of the Teams)

	Country	Group	Team Name	
1	POL	A	Burgay	Kwiecien, Pszczola, Balicki, Zmudzinski, Burgay, Mariani
2	ENG/USA	A	Byrne	Morris, Byrne, Fisher, Neill
3	FRA	A	Delmouly	Delmouly, Pacault, Piganeau, Lalanne, Renouard, Keremer
4	CAN	A	Heron	Ashton, Heron, Kent, Gibbs
5	CAN	A	Lahaie	Hétu, Bérubé, Boyer, Pauzé, Lahaie, Blais
6	ARG	A	Lambardi	Lambardi, Camberos, Madala, Ravenna, Bianchedi, Muzzio
7	USA	A	Lipkin	Arias, Lipkin, Better, Geoker
8	AUS	A	Noble	Noble, Brown, Bilski, Gue, Prescott
9	ITA	A	Pagani	Di Bello, Guariglia, Io Presti, Mazzadi, Nicolodi, Sbarigia
10	USA	A	Pollack	Pollack, Feldman, Landen, Rajadhyaksha, Hayden, Kasle
11	CAN	B	Blond	Davidson, Kertes, Blond, Saks, Oddy, Amoils
12	BEL	B	Engel	Henri, Lafourcade, Engel, Kaplan, Vandervorst
13	USA	B	Goldsmith	Goldsmith, Schuster, Wickham, Brownstein
14	GUA	B	Mathieu	Pelletier, Joatton, Grenie, Bourgeois, Picard, Mathieu
15	FRA	B	Multon	Izisel, Pilon, Allegrini, Multon, Palau, Quentin
16	CAN	B	Paulsson	Redrupp, Paulsson, Schock, Schock
17	RUS	B	Prokhorov	Prokhorov, Curlin, Tatarin, Khazanov
18	IND	B	Ruia	Ruia, Tikare, Samant, Sridharan, Hooda, Virmani
19	SWI	B	Spengler	Bosiger, Spengler, Frei, Imhof
20	USA	B	Woolsey	Robinson, Boyd, Woolsey, Stewart, Bramley, Lazard
21	COL	C	Carrera	Lechter, Carrera, Benedetti, Barrera, Barrientos
22	USA	C	Colchamiro	Bloom, Bloom, Colchamiro, Colchamiro
23	ENG	C	Dhondy	Dhondy, Bennett, Kay, Horsley
24	ITA	C	Lavazza	Lavazza, Ferraro, Bocchi, Duboin, Lauria, Versace
25	AUS	C	Lazer	Lazer, Gumby, Antoff, Dyke
26	NED	C	Muller	Bakkeren, Bertens, Muller, De Wijs
27	USA	C	O'Rourke	O'Rourke, Miller, Cheek, Cohen, Smith
28	SWE	C	Petersson	Petersson, Palmgren, Dahlberg, Andersson
29	CAN	C	Roche	Delogu, Thurston, Roche, Silverman, Altay, McKinnon
30	FRA	C	Societe Generale	Bessis, Gaviard, Bessis, Grenthe, Grenthe, De Tessieres
31	VEN	D	Bernazzani	Stern, Bernazzani, Ammirata, Cruz, Morganti, Morganti
32	FRA	D	Blumenthal	Blumenthal, Faigenbaum, Mari, Stoppa
33	ENG	D	Courtney	Brock, James, Courtney, Wigoder
34	MOR	D	El Fassi	El Fassi, Rerhay, Hachimi, Berrada, Sohana, Sourour
35	CAN	D	Fraser	Fraser, Hargreaves, Fraser, Jacob
36	RUS	D	Gromov	Gromov, Petrunin, Zlotov, Kholomeev, Gladyshev, Khiouppenen
37	CAN	D	Kujirai	Rayner, Duquette, Kujirai, Stark
38	ROM	D	Lungu	Ionita, Teodorescu, Lungu, Rotaru, Stirbu, Serpoi
39	USA	D	Prabhu	Prabhu, Larson, Venkatesh, Mohanram, Krishnan
40	USA	D	Schwartz	Schwartz, Becker, Mahmood, Rosenberg, Berkowitz, Cohen
41	MOR	E	Cadi Tazi	Cadi Tazi, Benchetrit, Kacimi, Benali, Sbili
42	CAN	E	Chagnon	Marcoux, Beyrousti, Chagnon, Hamelin, Boucher, Fourcaudot
43	NZL	E	Cornell	Cornell, Jacob, Jedrychowski, Mayer
44	FRA	E	Dechelette	Dechelette, Mus, Le Poder, Leenhardt
45	BEL	E	Hardeman	Debus, De Mesmaecker, Hardeman, Serras
46	CAN	E	Lachance	Lachance, Gauthier, Funk, Nault

47	INA	E	Munawar	Lasut, Manoppo, Sacul, Karwur, Munawar
48	ENG	E	Panahpour	Panahpour, Panahpour, McIntosh, Nazemian
49	USA	E	Rubin	Rubin, Granovetter, Eisenberg, Coren, Casen, Rautenberg
50	ROM	E	Taciuc	Zara, Zara, Costin, Taciuc, Voinescu, Zara
51	USA	F	Bandler	Bandler, Pissarra, Katz, Michlmayr
52	REU	F	Boyer	Vidal, Mechy, Boyer, Boyer, Deleflie, Huseinaly
53	FRA	F	Eisenberg	Salama, Chemla, Rombaut, Bessis, Kass, Eisenberg
54	USA	F	Freed	Freed, Erickson, Miller, Finkle, Kivel, Larsen
55	MAR	F	Germon	Faguet, Delcourt, Desportes, Germon
56	FRA/USA	F	Hobeika	Hobeika, Leprovost, Chapelle, USA
57	IND	F	Kirubakaramoorthy	Shah, Venkataraman, Kirubakaramoorthy, Padhye, Debashish
58	ISR	F	Naftali	Naftali, Sheinman, Yossi, Bareket
59	USA	F	Stakgold	Stakgold, Wildavsky, Pleishar, Stiefal, DeMartino, Raymond
60	CAN	F	Wells	Wells, Lynds, Fisher, Fisher
61	IND	G	Dalal	Nadar, Satyanarayan, Chokshi, Gupta, Dalal, Venkataraman
62	ENG	G	Forrester	Forrester, Robson, Bakhshi, Allfrey
63	USA	G	Ganzer	Ganzer, Prosnitz, Baseggio, Ewen, Gurvich
64	SWI	G	Kutner	Kutner, Terrattaz, Michaels, Zivkovic, Teylouni
65	JPN	G	Miyakuni	Nakamura, Shimizu, Miyakuni, Furuta
66	CAN	G	Pagé	Daoust, Daoust, Beaulieu, Ouelette, Pagé, Pagé
67	VEN	G	Pasquini	Vernon, Zighelboim, Pasquini, Slimak
68	CAN	G	Retek	Retek, Fraser, Anderson, Anderson, Silverstein
69	FRA	G	Samy	Samy, Samy, Joegne, Schweitzer
70	FRA	G	Zimmermann	Zimmermann, Saporta, Levy, Mouiel, Perron, Lebel
71	GUA	H	Bouveresse	Moers, Bouveresse, Veron, Bonnet, Garnier, Gerin
72	CAN	H	Castong	Castong
73	CAN	H	Chartrand	Chevalier, Tranquille, Chartrand, Young, Mayer, Gravel
74	USA	H	Deutsch	Deutsch, Wolff, Shivdasani, Bates, Chang, Willenken
75	FRA	H	Dupuis	Allix, Mauberquez, Thuillez, Sebbane, Dupuis, Libbrecht
76	BAN	H	Moazzemhussain	Moazzem Hussain, Saha, Salahuddin, Haque, Mamunul
77	ENG	H	Monachan	Monachan, Hackett, Hackett, Hallberg, Wright
78	REU	H	Mondon	Hamel, Mondon, Grosset, Simon, Beaumert, Sarrola
79	CAN	H	Thibault	Thibault, Thibault, LaSanté, Béland, St-Vincent, Gagnon
80	NED	H	Van Prooijen	Brink, Van Prooijen, Bruggeman, De Groot
81	ISR	I	Attunity	Haramati, Ahu, Poplilov, Poplilov, Zur-Campanile, Campanile Pietro.
82	FRA	I	Bompis	Marill, Toffier, Cronier, Bompis
83	BRA	I	Chagas	Chagas, Brenner, Thoma, Villas-Boas
84	USA	I	Ekeblad	Ekeblad, Shenkin, Molson, Ekeblad, Lipsitz
85	IND	I	Hema Deora	Vahalia, Vahalia, Hema Deora, Pradhan
86	BRA	I	Nader	Nader, Sampaio, Junqueira, Moreira
87	GER	I	Rohowsky	Bussek, Rohowsky, Engel, Pauly, Gotard, Piekarek
88	JPN	I	Teramoto	Teramoto, Morimura, Imakura, Hisatomi, Takano
89	CAN	I	Toczko	Antosz, Toczko, Samson, Fawthrop, Zeller, Baird
90	CAN/USA	I	Wooldridge	Boyd, Wooldridge, Bathurst, Mignocchi
91	DEN	J	Aagaard	Aagaard, Jepsen, Clemmensen, Graversen
92	PAK	J	Allana	Allana, Fazli, Siddiqui, Jaffer
93	ZAF	J	Cope	Cope, Holman, Apteker, Donde
94	CAN	J	Fradette	Fradette, Zicat, Jacques, Langlois
95	ENG	J	Kaufmann	Kaufmann, Rosen, Shek, Calderwood
96	POL	J	Lewaciak	Lewaciak, Krysztofiak, Kielichowski, Zak, Cieslak, Moszynski
97	NED	J	Maas	Maas, Ramondt, Jansma, Verhees Jr
98	USA	J	Meltzer	Meltzer, Larsen, Weichsel, Sontag, Stansby, Martel

99	FRA	J	Roussel	Roussel, Chantry, Christory, Duprez, Cuvillier
100	CAN	J	Summers	Summers, Habert, Major, Hirsch, Doston, Tchamitch
101	NED	K	Abram	Abram, Van Cleef, Paulissen, Nab
102	VEN	K	Dohnert	Tagliavia, Dohnert, Bettini, Zullian, Tache, Diebold
103	CAN	K	Friedman	Friedman, Petrick, Gohl, Hollow, Farag, Lord
104	CAN	K	Hicks	Weniger, MacKenzie, Hicks, MacDonald
105	CHN	K	Li	Zhou, Liu, Li, Sun, Lin, Shen
106	POL	K	Olanski	Bizon, Kowalski, Olanski, Starkowski, Gieruski, Skrzypczak
107	USA	K	Peel	Peel, Topp, Turner, Schwartz
108	IND	K	Poddar	Poddar, Bapat, Vaidya, Agarwal
109	FRA	K	Voltaire	Kaplan, Bitran, Voltaire, Hertz, Romanowski, Levy
110	USA	K	Welland	Welland, Fallenius, Gitelman, Moss, Garner, Weinstein
111	USA	L	Cayne	Cayne, Passell, Seamon, Levin, Weinstein, Burger
112	ENG	L	Hackett	Armstrong, Burn, Callaghan, Hackett, Sandqvist, Waterlow
113	BHR	L	Hameed	Hammeed, Mumtaz, Maci, Basoni
114	IND	L	Rao	Rao, Kushari, Mukherjee, Sarkar, Das, Bardhan
115	CHN	L	Ren	Ren, Liu, Song, Dong
116	USA	L	Rigal	Rigal, Stansby, Sprung, Lewis, Sprung, Goldberg
117	USA	L	Shugart	Shugart, Ferguson, Baze, Jacobus
118	CAN	L	Silver	Silver, Wolpert, Demuy, Lavee, Grainger
119	CAN	L	Willis	Willis, McDonald, Brown, Daigneault, Bowman, Bowman
120	TUR	L	Zorlu	Zorlu, Assael, Atabey, Kolata
121	ITA	M	Angelini	Angelini, Sementa, Fantoni, Nunes, Del Buono, Garozzo
122	USA	M	Barrett	Barrett, Tornay, Marshall, Alder
123	ITA	M	Castellani	Bombardieri, Muller, Castellani, Catarsi
124	CAN	M	Fergani	Fergani, L'Ecuyer, Czyzowicz, Wolpert, Baran, Mittelman
125	CAN	M	Green	Green, Baxter, Neagu, Hughes
126	USA	M	Grue	Grue, Kranyak, Kranyak, Moss
127	USA	M	Jacobs	Jacobs, Katz, Lev, Glubok, Cohler
128	FRA	M	Louchart	Grenthe, Louchart, Crestey, Carcy, Naels, Beineix
129	SWE	M	Morath	Brenning, Flodqvist, Efraimsson, Morath, Andersson, Bergdahl
130	HKG	M	Yeung	Yiu, Yeung, Yeung, Graca, Ho, Lam
131	CAN	N	Carruthers	Lebi, Hanna, Cannel, Carruthers, Graves
132	BRA	N	Castanho	Castanho, Joffe, Forjas, Muro
133	FRA	N	DeLestre	Mamou-Mani, Chalibert, Lobry, DeLestre
134	EGY	N	El Ahmady	El Ahmady, Sadek, Zein, Naguib, Hishmat, Dessouki
135	USA	N	Johnson	Johnson, Wold, Hampson, Greco, Lair, Zerbini
136	POL	N	Kowalski	Kowalski, Tuszynski, Gawrys, Jassem, Romanski, Szymanowski
137	CAN	N	Marcinski	Carriere, Marcinski, Krnjivic, Zhang
138	USA	N	Smith	Smith, Smith, Foster, Yomtov
139	GER	N	Wenning	Frerichs, Wenning, Schroeder, Cohner
140	JPN	N	Yamada	Hanayama, Yamada, Ohno, Hirata, Maeda, Hayashi
141	FRA	O	Cassar	Langevin, Cassar, Conte, Duffour, Kerlero De Rosbo
142	IND	O	Dalvi	Khandelwal, Khandelwal, Sista, Dalvi
143	USA	O	Diamond	Murphy, Bitner, Diamond, Donner
144	SWE	O	Fredin	Fredin, Lindkvist, Nyström, Stromberg
145	JPN	O	Higashiguchi	Homma, Hiramatsu, Higashiguchi, Onodera
146	ISR	O	Iscov	Birman, Birman, Sagiv, Sagiv
147	USA	O	Morse	Morse, Ross, Schaffer, Vernay, Lall, Chorush.
148	AUS	O	Nunn	Nunn
149	USA	O	Tudor	Tudor, Clerkin, Jones, Krekorian
150	AUS	O	Ziggy	Wallis, Del Monte, Richman, Konig, Fruewirth

151	ITA	P	Attanasio	Attanasio, Failla, D'Avossa, Di Bello, Pulga, Rinaldi
152	FRA	P	Cuenca	Potier, Cuenca, Guitta, Council
153	ENG	P	Czerniewski	Smith, Czerniewski, Hassett, Goldenfield
154	FRA	P	Fave	Fave, Grosselin, Wendremaire, DeLestre
155	SNG	P	Fong	Lau, Teck Tan, Fong, Tan
156	CAN	P	Gartaganis	Gartaganis, Gartaganis, Klimowicz, Fung
157	ISR	P	Kalish	Herbst, Herbst, Kalish, Podgur
158	ISR/POL	P	Lula	Lellouche, Hoffman, Lula, Swiatek
159	USA	P	Nickell	Nickell, Freeman, Meckstroth, Rodwell, Soloway, Hamman
160	HKG	P	Zen Wei Pen	Zen, Wan, Chiu, Lu

McCONNELL CUP

(Roster of the Teams)

	Country	Group	Team Name	
1	USA	Q	Baker	Baker, Schulle, McCallum, Rosenberg, Simon, Walsh
2	FRA	Q	Bessis	Bessis, D'ovidio, Cronier, Willard
3	FRA	Q	Faivre	Varenne, Hugon, Rossard, Faivre
4	AUS	Q	Grenside	Grenside, Farr Jones, McCartney, Lyons
5	JPN	Q	Ichihashi	Ichihashi, Fukuda, Mizuuchi, Tajiri, Ueda, Nomura
6	CAN	Q	Lacroix	Gaudreault, Lacroix, Bouchard, Tremblay, Belley, Lapointe
7	USA	Q	Sutherlin	Gates, Sutherlin, Allison, Wheeler, Sion, Burger
8	USA	Q	Wei-Sender	Wei-Sender, Kennedy, Seaman-Molson, Sokolow, Levin, Picus
9	CHN	Q	Zhang	Wang, Sun, Wang, Zhang, Wang, Sun
10	USA	R	Compton	Compton, Katz, Jacobus, Melson, Kohler
11	ENG	R	Dhondy	Smith, Dhondy, Brunner, Goldenfield, Godfrey, Teltscher
12	FRA	R	Kerlero De Rosbo	Aubonnet, Kerlero De Rosbo, Lesur, Bello, Beringer, Meshaka
13	USA	R	Klar	Cahn, Hamman, Jackson, Klar, Kaplan, Hemenway
14	REU	R	Klein	Hoyon, Boreanaz, Dreyfus, Nativel, Sauget, Klein
15	USA	R	Lewis	Martel, Woolsey, Berkowitz, Glasson, Lewis, Barrett
16	USA	R	Mancuso	Wittes, Bjerkan, Mancuso, Weinstein
17	JPN	R	Nishida	Nakao, Nishida, Ohta, Setoguchi
18	NED	R	Vriend	Hoogweg, Van Zwol, Van Der Pas, Vriend, Pasman, Simons
19	CAN	S	Adachi	Adachi, Gold, Wynston, Wolpert, Eaton, Clinton
20	Italy	S	Arrigoni	Arrigoni, Olivieri, Golin, Rosetta, Capriata, De Lucchi
21	AUT	S	Austria	Erhart, Smederevac, Weigkricht, Terraneo
22	USA	S	Clifford	Lourie, Epstein, Parker, Clifford, Savko, Hanlon
23	VEN	S	Daryanani	Pacheco, Daryanani, Piontkovski, Rabicew, Hirschhaut, Smith
24	USA	S	Letizia	Letizia, Steiner, Gwozdzinsky, Wexler, Fernandez, Sanders
25	JPN	S	Naito	Takasaki, Naito, Amano, Sekizawa
26	FRA	S	Pigeaud	Pigeaud, Menil, Leenhardt, Renoux, Avon
27	USA	S	Sanborn	Deas, Palmer, Meyers, Montin, Sanborn, Levitina
28	GER	T	Auken	Weber, Gromann, Mirosław, Vecchiatto, Nehmert, Auken
29	USA	T	Casen	Casen, Winestock, Michelin, Stratton
30	FRA	T	Clement	Clement, Dumon, Levy, De Heredia
31	FRA	T	Curetti	Jeanin-Naltet, De Guillebon, LeMaitre, Thuillez, Raimbault, Curetti
32	CAN	T	Gordon	Thorpe, Demme, Gordon, Reus, Cimon, Kraft
33	JPN	T	Nakakawaji	Asakoshi, Koshi, Hiramori, Nakakawaji, Kosaka
34	USA	T	Radin	Radin, Westheimer, Quinn, Breed, Pollack, Disa
35	MOR	T	Taktak	Taktak, Ohana, Kabbaj, Sebti, Nabil, Rafali
36	USA	T	Tornay	Miller, Morse, Wood, Michaels, Tornay, Ellie, Lewis



CAN-AM 2002



PRES. CONT. DU JEUDI MATIN

10 Pairs			
A	B	C	
2.00	1/2	1/2	Rachel Chittaro, Kildeer IL; Edward Nield, Westchester IL 65.50
2.00	1/2	1/2	1 Korkut Ergun - Sakir Sarimsakci, Istanbul TK 65.50
1.37	3	3	2 Anita Vaisberg - Tanya Vaisberg, Caraca VZ 50.00

JEUDI PM PAIRES CONTINUES

71 Pairs			
A	B	C	
6.98	1		Dorothy Kelleher, Portland ME; Michael St John, Cape Neddick ME 200.67
5.24	2		Nahla Sarkissian, Dol-des-Ormea PQ; Ghassan Menachi, Fabreville PQ 199.23
5.76	3/4	1	1 Charlotte Urbanc - David Love, Etobicoke ON 188.50
4.32	6	2	David McClintic - Judith McClintic, Cathedral City CA 186.00
3.27	3	2	Patrick Thompson, New York NY; Rita Krizel, Langhorne PA 185.63

1ST JEUDI / THURSDAY SENIOR SWISS

11 Teams			
13.16	1		Jeanne Fisher - Arnold Fisher, Clementon NJ; Bruce Keidan, Pittsburgh PA; Anne Simon, Syracuse NY 119.00
9.87	2		Trudi Nugit, Palm Desert CA; Jerry Weinstein, Las Vegas NV; Hamish Bennett, Menlo Park CA; Harry Ross, Winter Spgs FL; Michael Shuman, Pasadena CA 110.00
7.40	3		Denis Lesage, Longueuil PQ; Moe Gross - Eva Marcovici - Fred Hoffer, Cote Saint-Luc PQ 97.00

1ST THURSDAY / JEUDI SENIOR SWISS B/C

26 Teams			
	B	C	
8.16	1		Paul Raboin - Pierrette Turcotte, Montreal PQ; Padmini Divakaran, Madras, 600018 IL; Bertrand Delisle, Brossard PQ 109.00
5.36	2/3		Bernard Parent, Varennes PQ; Monique Godin, Boucherville PQ; Huguette Huard - Gilbert Vigneault, Ste Julie PQ 105.00
5.36	2/3		Gillian Cook, Oceanside CA; Natalie Wernet, Houston TX; Kal Kaleem, Etobicoke ON; Manzoor Ahmad, Montreal PQ 105.00
3.64	1		Gabriel Lepine - Yvette Julien, Montreal PQ; Suzanne Arsenaault, Saint-Hyacinthe PQ; Carmen Perrault, Ste Cecile Mlt PQ 94.00
2.73	2		Jean Vandal - Denis St-Jean, Repentigny PQ; Gilles Levis, Anjou PQ; Gilles Fyfe, Anjou QC 92.00

THURSDAY EVE / JEUDI SOIR PAIRES CONTINUES

65 Pairs			
A	B	C	
6.52	1		Joyce Sillins - Jonathan Greenspan, New York NY 209.59
5.16	2	1	Ogden Bigelow Jr, Old Saybrook CT;

3.87	4	2	Dick Augur, North Haven CT 197.06
			Huguette Jacques, St-Louis-Fran PQ; Adele Hasty, Cap-de-la-Mad PQ 180.19
3.22	5	3	1 Sheldon Zimmerman, Cote Saint-Luc PQ; Suzanne Siklos, Toronto ON 179.06
2.42	4	2	George Wurtele - Gilles Roy, Sainte-Therese PQ 172.50

JEUDI PAIRES LIBRE IMPS

74 Pairs			
A	B	C	
25.00	1		Helene Beaulieu - Patrice Roy, Sherbrooke PQ 130.00
18.75	2		Randy Pettit, Marietta GA; Allan Siebert, Little Rock AR 119.00
14.06	3	1	1 Korkut Ergun - Sakir Sarimsakci, Istanbul TK 108.00
7.02	2		Hany Chehata, Montreal PQ; Daniel Demuy, Laval PQ 72.00
5.27	3		Andrew Vinock, Woodland Hills CA; Gloria Peston, Australia 69.00
3.49	5	2	James Dulmage, Regina SK; Gordon Zind, Ottawa ON 43.00
1.76		3/4	Claude Guay, Montreal QC; Nicole Benard, Montreal PQ 19.00
1.76		3/4	Rudi Nadler - Radu Ariton, Staten Island NY 19.00

JEUDI PAIRS DE DAME

46 Pairs			
A	B	C	
9.24	1		Susi Katz Ross, Winter Spgs FL; Bette Cohn, Sarasota FL 296.94
6.93	2		V Gross, Montreal PQ; Ruth Ickman, Cote Saint-Luc PQ 257.06
5.20	3		Carol Jast, Cote Saint-Luc PQ; Lucille Leslie, Mont-Royal PQ 253.94
5.44	6	1	Hallie Frank - Judith Gradinger, Hampstead PQ 244.50
4.08	2		Leonora White, Cote Saint-Luc PQ; Bebi Shtull, Montreal QC 242.50
3.06	3		Renee Schlesinger - Myra Abrams, Cote Saint-Luc PQ 240.00
2.52	6	1	Carolyn Forcillo, Beaconsfield PQ; Maria Baldassare, Dol-des-Ormea PQ 232.00
1.89	2		Britt Siem - Lotte Optekamp, Houston TX 215.06
1.42	3		Gisele Smith - Chislaine Cornay, Rosemere PQ 211.50

OIE, OIE, OIE

Venez écouter Paul Schmaltz nous parler des essais vers la manche aujourd'hui à 12h20 dans le salon Frontenac au Hilton Bonaventure. Cette session se déroulera en anglais.

Come listen to Paul Schmaltz, one of Montreal's popular bridge teachers, tell us about game tries. This conference will be held in English at 12:20 today in the "Salon Frontenac" at the Hilton Bonaventure and should prove interesting and informative.